

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/803,226 03/18/2004 Mark S. Cordes JWB-2003-14-P 5632 08/02/2004 **EXAMINER** James W. Badie, Esq., DESAI, HEMANT Stoll, Miskin & Badie ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER The Empire State Building, Suite 4710 350 Fifth Avenue 3721 New York, NY 10118

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,226	CORDES, MARK S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hemant M Desai	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	<u>farch 2004</u> .	
,	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,

Application/Control Number: 10/803,226 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method of making a folder, classified in class 493, subclass 128.
- II. Claims 5-6, drawn to a kit for user in making a pocket folder, classified in class 493, subclass 210.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a kit having stapler to staple the preformed pocket and preformed folder together to make the pocket folder.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with James Badie on 7/29/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,226

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 5-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over welt (441485).

Welt discloses a method comprises forming a fold line (12, fig. 2) in a generally rectangular sheet folder (10, fig. 1), the fold line dividing the sheet into a left folder (16, fig. 2) panel, and a right folder panel (14, fig. 2), each of the panels having a longer side edge, a top side edge and a bottom side edge, forming a fold line (20, fig. 3) in at least one generally rectangular pocket sheet (20, fig. 3), having longer side edge (34, 24, fig. 3) a short top edge (22, fig. 3) and a short tapered bottom edge (36, fig. 3), the short bottom edge (22) having a glue flap (28, fig. 3), folding the glue flap, providing two peelable double sided adhesive strips (44, fig. 3) on the glue flap, removing the double-sided adhesive strips and attaching the pocket sheet to one half of said rectangular sheet.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,226

Art Unit: 3721

Welt, does not disclose expressly the shape of the blank of the pocket sheet identical as Applicant's shape of the blank of the pocket sheet.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the shape of the blank of the pocket sheet as disclosed by the applicant because the particular shape of the blank of the pocket sheet provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the pocket made out of the blank taught by Welt or the pocket made of the blank of claim 1 because the both the pockets perform the same function of holding the loose papers.

Therefore, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Welt to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Welt, as mentioned above discloses all the limitations, except for providing one pocket on each side of the folder panels. It would have been obvious to one haying ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide each pocket on each side of the folder panels, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claims 3-4, the sheet folder is generally square in configuration (see fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/803,226 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M Desai whose telephone number is (703) 308-5830. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-5: 30 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hemant M. Desai!

Hemant M Desai

Examiner

Art Unit 3721